

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,894	04/06/2001	Koji Noguchi	35.G2768	3411	
5514	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HOAN C	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 24	Amultonative Mi	Annihom ()	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment	09/826,894	NOGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 	•		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is non-final,		
 Since this application is in condition for alluclosed in accordance with the practice und 	owance except for formal matte der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9,13 and 16</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7,8,10-12,14,1	5 and 17-22 is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,9,13 and 16</u> is/are rejecte	d.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	priority documents have been re		
 See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. 	list of the certified copies not reserve priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has been	en received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § of the specification or in an App	§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific lication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to <u>Amended claim</u> 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, this is Final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims rejected1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13 and 16 are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko (US6141070A) In view of Nihira et al. (US611059A).

In regard to claims 1, 3 and 9, Kaneko teaches in the third embodiment (Fig. 11, col. 16 lines 45-49) a liquid crystal device comprising

- two substrates 1 and 4;
- nematic liquid crystal 7 sandwiched between said substrates;

wherein the twisted angle of zero degrees (parallel) or of 180 degrees (anti-parallel) (col. 1, lines 39-43 in the background of invention), therefore, the direction of uniaxial orientation (or nematic director) on rubbing alignment layers formed on upper and lower substrates is either parallel or anti-parallel; the zero-twisted nematic type device is also called an Electrically Controlled Birefringence (ECB) type device according to claim 9.

Art Unit: 2871

wherein temperature change of the retardation value of said liquid crystal device is reduced by changing a pre-tilt angle of said liquid crystal molecules so as to compensate for change in a birefringence of said nematic liquid crystal due to changes in temperature according to claim 3 (col. 11 lines 43-45).

In regard to claims 5, 13 and 16, a liquid crystal device using a normally-white mode with no voltage (this is Normal-White display), wherein the high-voltage side (voltage applied) of the driving voltage is used as black, thereby black is displayed by performing phase compensation between liquid crystal layer and retardation layers according to claims 5, 13 and 16.

Kaneko fails to disclose the tilt angle tended to decrease at high temperature due to property of alignment layer.

Nihira et al. teach the tilt angle tended to decrease at high temperature due to property of alignment layer (col. 2 lines 37-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal device as Kaneko disclosed with the tilt angle tended to decrease at high temperature due to property of alignment layer for tending to decrease or non-uniformity tended to result in high temperature.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,894

Art Unit: 2871

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not anticipate obvious to one ordinary skill in the art of a liquid crystal display device, with the combination of

- The refractive index anisotropy of liquid crystal composition having the nematic liquid crystal as the primary component at 30°C is 0.150 or more;
- The pre-tilt angle of liquid crystal molecule at 30°C is in a range of 10° to 45° at the substrate interfaces.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's ONLY arguments based on amended claims

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn December 15, 2003

Primary Examiner

Page 5